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## Pell hyperbolas

The general quadratic Diophantine equation in the two unknown integers $x$ and $y$ is given by

$$
a x^{2}+b y^{2}=k,
$$

with $a, b$ and $k$ positive or negative integers.
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$$
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The Pell hyperbola over a field $\mathbb{K}$ is a curve defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{d}(\mathbb{K})=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \mid x^{2}-d y^{2}=1\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Pell hyperbolas

Brahmagupta was one of the first mathematicians to study the solutions of (1); in particular, he studied the case with $d=83$ and $d=92$ [3]. He discovered that given two solutions of (1), namely $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$, also $\left(x_{1} x_{2}+d y_{1} y_{2}, x_{1} y_{2}+y_{1} x_{2}\right)$ will be a solution.

## Pell hyperbolas

Brahmagupta was one of the first mathematicians to study the solutions of (1); in particular, he studied the case with $d=83$ and $d=92$ [3].
He discovered that given two solutions of (1), namely $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$, also $\left(x_{1} x_{2}+d y_{1} y_{2}, x_{1} y_{2}+y_{1} x_{2}\right)$ will be a solution.
From the definition of the Brahmagupta product

$$
\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \otimes_{d}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1} x_{2}+d y_{1} y_{2}, x_{1} y_{2}+y_{1} x_{2}\right)
$$

it follows that $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{d}}(\mathbb{K}), \otimes_{d}\right)$ is a group where the identity element is the vertex of the hyperbola with coordinates $(1,0)$ and the inverse of a point $(x, y)$ is $(x,-y)$.

## Pell hyperbolas

If $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ that is a finite field of order $q$, with $q$ odd prime or power of an odd prime, then the group over the Pell hyperbola is cyclic of order $q-\chi_{q}(d)$ where $\chi_{q}(d)$ is the quadratic character of $d \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, i.e.

$$
\chi_{q}(d)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } d=0 \\ 1 & \text { if } d \text { is a square in } \mathbb{F}_{q}, \\ -1 & \text { if } d \text { is a non-square in } \mathbb{F}_{q} .\end{cases}
$$
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$$

All Pell hyperbolas with same value of $\chi_{q}(d)$ are isomorphic. In particular, if $\chi_{q}(d)=\chi_{q}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$, then $d^{\prime}=d s^{2}$ for some $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and the group isomorphism is

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{d, d^{\prime}}:\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \otimes_{d}\right) & \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{d^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \otimes_{d^{\prime}}\right),  \tag{3}\\
(x, y) & \longmapsto(x, y / s) .
\end{align*}
$$

## Generalized Pell hyperbolas

The equation of the Pell hyperbola is a particular case of the canonical form of hyperbolas and ellipses that, over a finite field, is given by

$$
\mathcal{C}_{c, d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q} \mid x^{2}-d y^{2}=c\right\} .
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The inverse of a point $(x, y)$ becomes the point $\frac{1}{c}(a, b) \otimes_{d}(a, b) \otimes_{d}(x,-y)$.

$$
\left(\mathcal{C}_{c, d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \otimes_{a, b, c, d}\right) \text { is a group. }
$$

## Generalized Pell hyperbolas

## Theorem

Given $c, d \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$and a point $(a, b) \in \mathcal{C}_{c, d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, the following map is a group isomorphism:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{c, d}^{a, b}:\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \otimes_{d}\right) & \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow}\left(\mathcal{C}_{c, d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \otimes_{a, b, c, d}\right), \\
(x, y) & \longmapsto(a, b) \otimes_{d}(x, y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The inverse group homomorphism is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\tau_{c, d}^{a, b}\right)^{-1}:\left(\mathcal{C}_{c, d}, \otimes_{a, b, c, d}\right) & \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}, \otimes_{d}\right), \\
(x, y) & \longmapsto(1,0) \otimes_{a, b, c, d}(x, y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Generalized Pell hyperbolas

The explicit isomorphism between two generalized Pell hyperbolas with same parameter $d$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathcal{C}_{c, d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \otimes_{a, b, c, d}\right) & \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow}\left(\mathcal{C}_{c^{\prime}, d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \otimes_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d}\right),  \tag{5}\\
(x, y) & \longmapsto\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{a, b, c, d}(x, y) .
\end{align*}
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## Generalized Pell hyperbolas

The explicit isomorphism between two generalized Pell hyperbolas with same parameter $d$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whereas, if $\left(\mathcal{C}_{c, d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \otimes_{a, b, c, d}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{C}_{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}}, \otimes_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime} d^{\prime}}\right)$ with $\chi_{q}(d)=\chi_{q}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$ and $d^{\prime}=d s^{2}$, then composing $\left(\tau_{c, d}^{a, b}\right)^{-1}, \delta_{d, d^{\prime}}$ and $\tau_{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}}^{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}}$ results in a group isomorphism between the two generalized Pell hyperbolas given explicitly by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\tau_{c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}}^{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}} \circ \delta_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ\left(\tau_{c, d}^{a, b}\right)^{-1}(x, y)=\frac{1}{c}\left(a^{\prime}(a x-d b y)+d^{\prime} b^{\prime}(a y-b x) / s,\right. \\
\left.a^{\prime}(a y-b x) / s+b^{\prime}(a x-d b y)\right) .
\end{array}
$$

## Parameterization for $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$

Let us consider the quotient ring

$$
\mathcal{R}_{d, q}=\mathbb{F}_{q}[t] /\left(t^{2}-d\right)=\left\{x+t y \mid x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, t^{2}=d\right\},
$$

for any two elements $x_{1}+t y_{1}, x_{2}+t y_{2} \in \mathcal{R}_{d, q}$, the product naturally induced from the quotient is

$$
\left(x_{1}+t y_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}+t y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1} x_{2}+d y_{1} y_{2}\right)+t\left(x_{1} y_{2}+y_{1} x_{2}\right),
$$

which is essentially the classic Brahmagupta product, so that in the following we will use the notation $\otimes_{d}$ adopted with the Pell hyperbola.

## Parameterization for $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$

The invertible elements of $\mathcal{R}_{d, q}$ with respect to $\otimes_{d}$ as $\mathcal{R}_{d, q^{\prime}}^{\otimes_{d}}$, may be:
1 if $d \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$is a non-square, then

$$
\mathcal{R}_{d, q}^{\otimes_{d}}=\mathcal{R}_{d, q} \backslash\{0\} ;
$$

2 if $d \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$is a square and $s \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$is a square root of $d$, then

$$
\mathcal{R}_{d, q}^{\otimes_{d}}=\mathcal{R}_{d, q} \backslash\{0, \pm s y+y t \mid y \in \mathbb{F}\} .
$$

Thus, we define $\mathbb{P}_{d, q}=\mathcal{R}_{d, q}^{\otimes_{d}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$.

## Parameterization for $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}_{d, q} & = \begin{cases}\left\{[m+t] \mid m \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\} \cup\{[1]\}, & \text { if } d \text { is a non-square, } \\
\left\{[m+t] \mid m \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{ \pm s\}\right\} \cup\{[1]\}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}  \tag{6}\\
& \sim \begin{cases}\mathbb{F}_{q} \cup\{\alpha\}, & \text { if } d \text { is a non-square, } \\
\mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash\{ \pm s\} \cup\{\alpha\}, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$
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The operation $\otimes_{d}$ between canonical representatives in $\mathbb{P}_{d, q}$ is

$$
m_{1} \otimes_{\boldsymbol{d}} m_{2}= \begin{cases}m_{1}, & \text { if } m_{2}=\alpha,  \tag{7}\\ m_{2}, & \text { if } m_{1}=\alpha, \\ \frac{m_{1} m_{2}+d}{m_{1}+m_{2}}, & \text { if } m_{1}+m_{2} \neq 0, \\ \alpha, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

## Parameterization for $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$

Considering the canonical representatives in $\mathbb{P}_{d, q}$, the group isomorphism is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{d}:\left(\mathbb{P}_{d, q}, \otimes_{d}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \otimes_{d}\right), \\
& m \longmapsto \begin{cases}\left(\frac{m^{2}+d}{m^{2}-d}, \frac{2 m}{m^{2}-d}\right), & \text { if } m \neq \alpha, \\
(1,0), & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases} \\
& \phi_{d}^{-1}:\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \otimes_{d}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\mathbb{P}_{d, q}, \otimes_{d}\right), \\
&(x, y) \longmapsto \begin{cases}(x+1) / y, & \text { if } y \neq 0, \\
0, & \text { if }(x, y)=(-1,0), \\
\alpha, & \text { if }(x, y)=(1,0) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Thus, the parameters in $\mathbb{P}_{d, q}$ of the Pell hyperbola can be obtained considering the lines $y=\frac{1}{m}(x+1)$ for $m$ varying in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ or $m=\alpha$.

## Parameterization for $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$

## A geometric interpretation

From a geometrical point of view, the parameter $m$ of a point $(x, y)$ is the slope of the line through $(x, y)$ and $(-1,0)$ written considering $x$ variable with $y$. Given two points $P$ and $Q$ of the Pell Hyperbola, their product $P \otimes_{d} Q$ is obtaining by considering the intersection between the hyperbola and the line through the identity point $(1,0)$ and parallel to the line through $P$ and $Q$.


[^0]
## Exponentiation with Rédei rational functions

The product on the parameters can be evaluated efficiently with the Rédei rational functions, in particular

$$
m^{\otimes_{d} e}=Q_{e}(m, d)
$$

with

$$
Q_{n}(m, d)=\frac{A_{n}(m, d)}{B_{n}(m, d)}
$$

where $A_{n}, B_{n}$ are two sequences of polynomials obtained by the powers

$$
(m+\sqrt{d})^{n}=A_{n}(m, d)+B_{n}(m, d) \sqrt{d}
$$

$A_{n}(m, d)$ and $B_{n}(m, d)$ can be evaluated by the modified More algorithm.

## Public-key encryption with the Pell hyperbola

## Three different ElGamal like schemes

Since the group of the Pell hyperbola is cyclic, it can be applied in Public-Key Encryption (PKE) schemes where the security is based on the Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP), such as the ElGamal PKE scheme.

## Public-key encryption with the Pell hyperbola

## Three different ElGamal like schemes

Since the group of the Pell hyperbola is cyclic, it can be applied in Public-Key Encryption (PKE) schemes where the security is based on the Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP), such as the ElGamal PKE scheme.

In particular, three schemes have been studied

- ElGamal with Pell hyperbola,
- ElGamal with the parameterization,
- ElGamal with the obtained isomorphisms.


## Classic EIGamal cryptosystem

```
KeyGen( \(n\) ):
    1: \(q \leftarrow_{\$}\{0,1\}^{n}\) order of \((G, \cdot)\)
    2: \(g\) generator of \((G, \cdot)\)
    3: \(s k \leftarrow \$\{2, \ldots, q-1\}\)
    4: \(h=g^{s k} \in G\)
    5: \(p k=(G, g, h)\)
    6: return \(p k, s k\)
```

Encrypt(m, pk):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1: } y \leftarrow \$\{1, \ldots, q-1\} \\
& \text { 2: } e=h^{y} \in G \\
& \text { 3: } c_{1}=g^{y} \in G \\
& \text { 4: } c_{2}=m \cdot e \in G \\
& \text { 5: } \text { return } c_{1}, c_{2} \\
& \text { Decrypt }\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, p k, s k\right): \\
& \text { 1: } e=c_{1}^{s k} \in G \\
& \text { 2: } m=c_{2} \cdot e^{-1} \in G \\
& \text { 3: } \text { return } m
\end{aligned}
$$

## ElGamal with the cyclic group $\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{q}\right), \otimes_{d}\right)$

$\operatorname{KeyGen}(n)$ :
1: $q \leftarrow \$\{0,1\}^{n}$ power of a prime
2: $d \leftarrow \$ \mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $\chi_{q}(d)=-1$
3: $G \leftarrow_{\$} \mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ of order $q+1$
4: $s k \leftarrow \$\{2, \ldots, q\}$
5: $H=G^{\otimes_{d} s k} \in \mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$
6: $p k=(q, d, G, H)$
7: return $p k, s k$

Let $q$ be a power of a prime $n$ bits long and after choosing $d \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, a random generator $G$ of $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ is taken.
Then the algorithm proceeds by taking a random exponent sk (step 4) and obtaining a public point $H \in \mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.

## ElGamal with the cyclic group $\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{q}\right), \otimes_{d}\right)$

Encrypt(msg, pk):
Require: $m s g<q$
1: $y \leftarrow m s g$
2: $x=\sqrt{1+d y^{2}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$
3: $r \leftarrow_{\$}\{2, \ldots, q\}$
4: $C_{1}=G^{\otimes_{d} r} \in \mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$
5: $C_{2}=H^{\otimes_{d} r} \otimes_{d}(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$
6: return $C_{1}, C_{2}$
Decrypt $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, p k, s k\right):$
1: $(x, y)=\left(C_{1}^{\otimes_{d} s k}\right)^{-1} \otimes_{d} C_{2} \in \mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$
2: $m s g \leftarrow y$
3: return msg

The message determines the $y$ coordinate of a point, and the corresponding $x$ is chosen under the condition $(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. After taking a random exponent $r, C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are obtained through exponentiations with the Brahmagupta product.
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Require: $m s g<q$
1: $y \leftarrow m s g$
2: $x=\sqrt{1+d y^{2}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$
3: $r \leftarrow_{\$}\{2, \ldots, q\}$
4: $C_{1}=G^{\otimes_{d} r} \in \mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$
5: $C_{2}=H^{\otimes_{d} r} \otimes_{d}(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$
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Decrypt $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, p k, s k\right):$
1: $(x, y)=\left(C_{1}^{\otimes_{d} s k}\right)^{-1} \otimes_{d} C_{2} \in \mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$
2: $m s g \leftarrow y$
3: return msg

The message determines the $y$ coordinate of a point, and the corresponding $x$ is chosen under the condition $(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. After taking a random exponent $r, C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are obtained through exponentiations with the Brahmagupta product.

During the decryption, the point $(x, y)$ is retrieved as the Brahmagupta product of the inverse of $C_{1}^{\otimes{ }_{d} s k}$ with $C_{2}$.

## ElGamal with the parameterization $\left(\mathbb{P}_{d, q}, \otimes_{d}\right)$

KeyGen( $n$ ):

1: $q \leftarrow_{\$}\{0,1\}^{n}$ power of a prime
2: $d \leftarrow \$ \mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $\chi_{q}(d)=-1$
3: $g \leftarrow \$ \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$ of order $q+1$
4: $s k \leftarrow \$\{2, \ldots, q\}$
5: $h=g^{\otimes_{d} s k} \in \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$
6: $p k=(q, d, g, h)$
7: return $p k, s k$

A random non-square $d \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ is taken.
After choosing a generator $g \in \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$ and a random exponent $s k$, a parameter $h=g^{\otimes_{d} s k}$ is evaluated in step 5 with the modified More algorithm.

## ElGamal with the parameterization $\left(\mathbb{P}_{d, q}, \otimes_{d}\right)$

Encrypt(msg, $p k$ ):

## Require: $m s g<q$

1: $r \leftarrow_{\$}\{2, \ldots, q\}$
2: $c_{1}=g^{\otimes_{d} r} \in \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$
3: $c_{2}=h^{\otimes_{d} r} \otimes_{d} m s g \in \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$
4: return $c_{1}, c_{2}$
$\operatorname{Decrypt}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, p k, s k\right):$
1: $m s g=-c_{1}^{\otimes_{d} s k} \otimes_{d} c_{2} \in \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$
2: return msg

The encryption considers the message as a parameter $m s g \in \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$. Step 1 takes a random exponent $r$, which is used in steps 2-3 to obtain the parameters $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. The ciphertext requires half of the space than in the previous algorithm.

## ElGamal with the parameterization $\left(\mathbb{P}_{d, q}, \otimes_{d}\right)$

Encrypt(msg, $p k$ ):
Require: $m s g<q$
1: $r \leftarrow_{\$}\{2, \ldots, q\}$
2: $c_{1}=g^{\otimes_{d} r} \in \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$
3: $c_{2}=h^{\otimes_{d} r} \otimes_{d} m s g \in \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$
4: return $c_{1}, c_{2}$
Decrypt $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, p k, s k\right)$ :
1: $m s g=-c_{1}^{\otimes_{d} s k} \otimes_{d} c_{2} \in \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$
2: return msg

The encryption considers the message as a parameter $m s g \in \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$. Step 1 takes a random exponent $r$, which is used in steps 2-3 to obtain the parameters $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. The ciphertext requires half of the space than in the previous algorithm.

The decryption retrieves the message as the parameter product between the inverse of $c_{1}^{\otimes_{d} s k}$ (which is simply its opposite) and $c_{2}$.

## ElGamal with two Pell hyperbolas

KeyGen( $n$ ):

1: $q \leftarrow \$\{0,1\}^{n}$ power of a prime
2: $d \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ minimum with $\chi_{q}(d)=-1$
3: $g \leftarrow \$ \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$ of order $q+1$
4: $s k \leftarrow \$\{2, \ldots, q\}$
5: $h=g^{\otimes_{d} s k} \in \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$
6: $p k=(q, d, g, h)$
7: return $p k, s k$

The key generation is analogous to the previous one, except for the smallest non-square $d$ taken in step 3 , which is used for the exponentiation in step 6 and then included in the public key.

## ElGamal with two Pell hyperbolas

Encrypt( $m s g, p k$ ):
Require: $m s g \leq(q-1)^{2}$
1: $(x, y) \leftarrow m s g$
2: $d^{\prime}=\frac{x^{2}-1}{y^{2}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $\chi_{q}\left(d^{\prime}\right)=-1$
3: $m=\frac{x+1}{y} \in \mathbb{P}_{d^{\prime}, q}$
4: $r \leftarrow_{\$}\{2, \ldots, q\}$
5: $s=\sqrt{d^{\prime} / d} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$
6: $c_{1}=(g s)^{\otimes_{d^{\prime}} r} \in \mathbb{P}_{d^{\prime}, q}$
7: $c_{2}=(h s)^{\otimes_{d^{\prime}} r} \otimes_{d^{\prime}} m \in \mathbb{P}_{d^{\prime}, q}$
8: return $c_{1}, c_{2}, d^{\prime}$
$\operatorname{Decrypt}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, d^{\prime}, p k, s k\right):$
1: $m=\left(-c_{1}^{\otimes_{d^{\prime}} s k}\right) \otimes_{d^{\prime}} c_{2}$
2: $m s g \leftarrow\left(\frac{m^{2}+d^{\prime}}{m^{2}-d^{\prime}}, \frac{2 m}{m^{2}-d^{\prime}}\right)$
3: return $m s g$

Step 2 searches for a quadratic non-residue $d^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that $(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Then, in step 3 , the parameter $m$ related to the point is obtained through the parameterization. Now, since the public key contains parameters of points of $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, the isomorphism between Pell hyperbolas $\delta_{d, d^{\prime}}$ is exploited.

## ElGamal with two Pell hyperbolas

Encrypt( $m s g, p k$ ):
Require: $m s g \leq(q-1)^{2}$
1: $(x, y) \leftarrow m s g$
2: $d^{\prime}=\frac{x^{2}-1}{y^{2}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $\chi_{q}\left(d^{\prime}\right)=-1$
3: $m=\frac{x+1}{y} \in \mathbb{P}_{d^{\prime}, q}$
4: $r \leftarrow_{\$}\{2, \ldots, q\}$
5: $s=\sqrt{d^{\prime} / d} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$
6: $c_{1}=(g s)^{\otimes_{d^{\prime}} r} \in \mathbb{P}_{d^{\prime}, q}$
7: $c_{2}=(h s)^{\otimes_{d^{\prime}} r} \otimes_{d^{\prime}} m \in \mathbb{P}_{d^{\prime}, q}$
8: return $c_{1}, c_{2}, d^{\prime}$
$\operatorname{Decrypt}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, d^{\prime}, p k, s k\right):$
1: $m=\left(-c_{1}^{\otimes_{d^{\prime}} s k}\right) \otimes_{d^{\prime}} c_{2}$
2: $m s g \leftarrow\left(\frac{m^{2}+d^{\prime}}{m^{2}-d^{\prime}}, \frac{2 m}{m^{2}-d^{\prime}}\right)$
3: return $m s g$

Step 2 searches for a quadratic non-residue $d^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that $(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Then, in step 3 , the parameter $m$ related to the point is obtained through the parameterization. Now, since the public key contains parameters of points of $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, the isomorphism between Pell hyperbolas $\delta_{d, d^{\prime}}$ is exploited.

In the decryption, analogous to the previous case, the message must be retrieved from the point related to the obtained parameter (step 2).

## Numerical results

## Security

Since in all the introduced schemes the parameter $d \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ is a non-square, there is an explicit group isomorphism between $\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \otimes_{d}\right)$ and the multiplicative subgroup $G \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{\times}$of order $q+1$ [2], this is true also for $\left(\mathbb{P}_{d, q}, \otimes_{d}\right)$ through $\phi_{d}$. Thus, the DLP related to the Pell hyperbola can be reduced to that in a finite field that, with respect to the standard security strengths from [1] for ElGamal in Finite Field Cryptography (FFC), has halved size of $q$.

## Numerical results

## Security

| Sec. | FFC | $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ | $\mathbb{P}_{d, q}$ | $\phi_{d^{\prime}}, \delta_{d, d^{\prime}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | 1024 | 512 | 512 | 512 |
| 112 | 2048 | 1024 | 1024 | 1024 |
| 128 | 3072 | 1536 | 1536 | 1536 |
| 192 | 7680 | 3840 | 3840 | 3840 |
| 256 | 15360 | 7680 | 7680 | 7680 |

Field size in bits for different DLP-based cryptosystems depending on the cyclic group and the classic security strength in bits.

## Numerical results

Data-size

Data-size in bits for ElGamal with FFC, $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$ and the alternative formulation, depending on the size $n$ of $q$ and for 80 bits of security.

| Formulation | $p a r$ | $p k$ | $s k$ | $m s g$ | $c_{1}, c_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FFC | $2 n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $2 n$ |
|  | 2048 | 1024 | 1024 | 1024 | 2048 |

## Numerical results

Data-size

Data-size in bits for ElGamal with FFC, $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$ and the alternative formulation, depending on the size $n$ of $q$ and for 80 bits of security.

| Formulation | $p a r$ | $p k$ | $s k$ | $m s g$ | $c_{1}, c_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FFC | $2 n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $2 n$ |
|  | 2048 | 1024 | 1024 | 1024 | 2048 |
| $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ | $4 n$ | $2 n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $4 n$ |
|  | 2048 | 1024 | 512 | 512 | 2048 |

## Numerical results

Data-size

Data-size in bits for ElGamal with FFC, $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$ and the alternative formulation, depending on the size $n$ of $q$ and for 80 bits of security.

| Formulation | $p a r$ | $p k$ | $s k$ | $m s g$ | $c_{1}, c_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FFC | $2 n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $2 n$ |
|  | 2048 | 1024 | 1024 | 1024 | 2048 |
| $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ | $4 n$ | $2 n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $4 n$ |
|  | 2048 | 1024 | 512 | 512 | 2048 |
| $\mathbb{P}_{d, q}$ | $3 n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $2 n$ |
|  | 1536 | 512 | 512 | 512 | 1024 |

## Numerical results

Data-size

Data-size in bits for ElGamal with FFC, $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), \mathbb{P}_{d, q}$ and the alternative formulation, depending on the size $n$ of $q$ and for 80 bits of security.

| Formulation | $p a r$ | $p k$ | $s k$ | $m s g$ | $c_{1}, c_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FFC | $2 n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $2 n$ |
|  | 2048 | 1024 | 1024 | 1024 | 2048 |
| $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ | $4 n$ | $2 n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $4 n$ |
|  | 2048 | 1024 | 512 | 512 | 2048 |
| $\mathbb{P}_{d, q}$ | $3 n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $2 n$ |
|  | 1536 | 512 | 512 | 512 | 1024 |
| $\phi_{d}, \delta_{d, d^{\prime}}$ | $2 n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $2 n$ | $3 n$ |
|  | 1024 | 512 | 512 | 1024 | 1536 |

## Numerical results

## Performance

| Sec. | Alg. | FFC | $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \times 2$ | $\mathbb{P}_{d, q} \times 2$ | $\phi_{d}, \delta_{d, d^{\prime}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | Gen | 0.011079 | 0.011713 | 0.009781 | 0.007524 |
|  | Enc | 0.022311 | 0.059983 | 0.040459 | 0.028152 |
|  | Dec | 0.012183 | 0.023631 | 0.020472 | 0.010203 |
| 112 | Gen | 0.074718 | 0.073778 | 0.056865 | 0.038527 |
|  | Enc | 0.149400 | 0.364686 | 0.229299 | 0.164122 |
|  | Dec | 0.077622 | 0.148194 | 0.115962 | 0.057106 |
| 128 | Gen | 0.233983 | 0.227347 | 0.171958 | 0.112873 |
|  | Enc | 0.467730 | 1.103675 | 0.689103 | 0.496599 |
|  | Dec | 0.239429 | 0.454805 | 0.347872 | 0.171190 |
| 192 | Gen | 3.188959 | 2.811594 | 2.127992 | 1.372381 |
|  | Enc | 6.372422 | 13.791595 | 8.525471 | 6.291258 |
|  | Dec | 3.218019 | 5.630895 | 4.273549 | 2.103753 |
| 256 | Gen | 22.874051 | 18.155630 | 13.841428 | 9.519104 |
|  | Enc | 45.766954 | 87.457496 | 55.563741 | 42.658508 |
|  | Dec | 22.981310 | 36.287580 | 27.792128 | 14.464945 |

Average times in seconds for 10 random instances for fixed msg length, depending on the security strength.

## Numerical results

## Performance

| Sec. | Alg. | FFC | $\phi_{d}, \delta_{d, d^{\prime}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | Gen | 0.011079 | 0.007524 |
|  | Enc | 0.022311 | 0.028152 |
|  | Dec | 0.012183 | 0.010203 |
| 112 | Gen | 0.074718 | 0.038527 |
|  | Enc | 0.149400 | 0.164122 |
|  | Dec | 0.077622 | 0.057106 |
| 128 | Gen | 0.233983 | 0.112873 |
|  | Enc | 0.467730 | 0.496599 |
|  | Dec | 0.239429 | 0.171190 |
| 192 | Gen | 3.188959 | 1.372381 |
|  | Enc | 6.372422 | 6.291258 |
|  | Dec | 3.218019 | 2.103753 |
| 256 | Gen | 22.874051 | 9.519104 |
|  | Enc | 45.766954 | 42.658508 |
|  | Dec | 22.981310 | 14.464945 |

Average times in seconds for 10 random instances for fixed msg length, depending on the security strength.

## Conclusion

The first two new cryptosystems remain interesting from the theoretical point of view, but are not competitive in practice. On the other hand, the new ElGamal formulation that exploits the new group isomorphisms $\phi_{d}, \delta_{d, d^{\prime}}$ seems to be a very powerful alternative for DLP-based cryptosystems because of the big advantage in key and ciphertext size and the comparable times with the classical ElGamal in FFC.
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[^0]:    Geometric interpretation of the Brahmagupta product.

